

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/770,618	Applicant(s) BLOCKER, TED	
	Examiner Susan C. Alimenti	Art Unit 3644	

All Participants:

(1) Susan C. Alimenti.

(2) Steve Hudnut.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:


Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102 over *Wilself*
Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:
Wilself
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

2/17/2006
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Hudnut to discuss anticipation issues over Wilself that remained subsequent to the after final amendment filed 1/23/06. Several amendments were discussed by the examiner and Mr. Hudnut, finally reaching agreement with the present examiner's amendment, which is meant to modify the after final amendment..